

**Green Lands**  
West Virginia Surface Mining  
and Reclamation Association  
1624 Kanawha Blvd., East  
Charleston, WV 25311

Address Correction Requested

MR. DAVID L. IDLEMAN  
DIVISION OF RECLAMATION  
P. O. BOX 249  
ELK GARDEN, WV 26717

Bulk Rate  
U. S. Postage  
**PAID**  
Charleston, WV  
Permit No. 2281

## A Sensible Approach to Group Insurance



WEST VIRGINIA SURFACE MINING  
AND RECLAMATION ASSOCIATION

Partners in your  
health protection



**CONNECTICUT GENERAL**  
Life Insurance Company, Hartford



CITIZENS  
Insurance Agency

- "Credit Card" Claim System
- 2 Week Claims Payment
- Choice of 4 Basic Plans
- Each Plan Fully Insured

For further information contact

John Stacy  
Rick Kephart  
Ray Halsey  
Jeff Lilly

525 Federal St.  
P. O. Box 4317  
Bluefield, West Va. 24701  
(304) 325-3611

# Green Lands

Spring 1984





# A FULL COMPLIMENT OF SERVICES

## A REAL PLUS UNDER THE TOP

Service. It's what we're all about at Flat Top Insurance Agency. We've built our organization around it.

By service we mean close, personal attention from our sales specialists who are experienced in the complex field of coal mining insurance; by our risk control engineers who work with insureds to reduce risks as well as premiums; and by our claims adjusters who are available to meet the needs of our insureds whenever the need arises.


It's service that insures peace of mind for our customers.

From loss control to policy issuance, our professional staff is service-oriented. It's where we're coming from at Flat Top Insurance Agency.



West Virginia - Virginia - Ohio  
Kentucky - Tennessee - Pennsylvania  
Alabama

A leader in coal insurance since 1897



## YOUR PART'S IN THE RIGHT PLACE

If you run a fleet of mining or construction equipment, you've got plenty on your mind. It might help to know,

when it comes to spare parts, we've got plenty on our shelves.

Anderson Equipment Co. has been ranked as one of the leading heavy equipment parts suppliers in the country. In fact, we've been named as Clark Equipment's number one parts distributor in North America, and have been near the top in parts availability for Grove, Euclid and Manitowoc.

These achievements were no accident. Anderson has long strived to bring the best to mining and construction operators in western Pennsylvania and West Virginia. We now have well over 40,000 parts in stock at our seven branch locations—40,000 items tracked by computer so we can tell you immediately if we have the part, how many we have, and exactly where it is.

No wonder Anderson consistently

fills almost 95 percent of our customers' requests for parts within 24 hours. And our fleet of parts shuttle and service trucks insures you'll have that part whether you're on a remote mine site or in the middle of urban construction.

With Anderson, the parts you need are as close as your telephone.

Bridgeville, PA	(412) 343-2300
Clearfield, PA	(814) 765-5311
Somerset, PA	(814) 443-2867
Shippensburg, PA	(814) 226-4100
Charleston, WV	(304) 342-2300
Jane Lew, WV	(304) 884-7821
Beckley, WV	(304) 469-2537






# Robins and Associates

Mine Planning and Design Services

- Mine Permitting
- Mining Engineering
- Economic Analyses
- Reserve Evaluations
- Transportation Design
- Baseline Investigations
- Dam and Impoundment Design
- Soils and Overburden Analyses
- Wastewater Treatment Facility Design



2233 N. Front St.  
Harrisburg, Pa. 17110  
(717) 233-2771

P.O. Box 2332  
Charleston, W.Va. 25328  
(304) 343-1102

P.O. Box 468  
Bigler, Pa. 16825  
(814) 857-7648

# Green Lands

Volume 14 Number 1

- 5 Cast a Thoughtful Vote - Editorial
- 8 How Much A Ton? — A Survey
- 9 Where's Our Share? — A graphic account of West Virginia's declining position in U.S. Coal production
- 14 Coal in the U.S.—A Status Report
- 26 News of Note
- 29 Coal Calendar
- 31 Association Notebook

Need Hydro Seeding & Mulching of Surface  
Mined Areas, Silt Ponds, Mine Mouths Haul  
Roads, Etc. ???

Let  
**Penn Line Service, Inc.**  
give you an estimate

Call Our Toll Free Number 800—245-6800

Ask For Ron Hill



**Penn Line Service, Inc.**  
Scottsdale, Pennsylvania 15083

## Index to Advertisers

Anderson Equipment .....	1
Beckwith Machinery .....	4
Call Detroit Diesel Allison .....	25
Chamberlaine & Flowers .....	32
Citizens Insurance .....	Back Cover
C.I. Walker Machinery .....	7
Cummins Cumberland .....	28
Fairchild .....	6
Flat Top Insurance .....	Inside Front Cover
Hansford Seed .....	30
Massie Brothers Reclamation .....	24
McDonough Caperton Shepherd Group .....	12
Ohio Seed .....	24
Rish Equipment .....	Inside Back Cover
Robins & Associates .....	2
Technical Testing Services .....	32
Willco Reclamation .....	30
Worldwide Equipment .....	13

**OUR COVER** — Reclamation season is well upon us, and scenes like this Patriot Mining Company site are blooming all over West Virginia's surface coal country. As for the coal production which makes all this possible—well, that's another story. See Page 9.



**Editor**  
R. Daniel Miller

**Business**  
Mary Ann Steele

Green Lands is a quarterly publication of the West Virginia Surface Mining and Reclamation Association with offices at 1624 Kanawha Boulevard East, Charleston, West Virginia 25311 Telephone: (304) 346-5318

**President** — Benjamin C. Greene  
**Asst. to President** — Patty Bruce  
**Vice President** — William B. Raney  
**Chairman of the Board** — Tracy W. Hylton  
**1st Vice Chairman** — Carl Delsignore  
**2nd Vice Chairman** — William S. Ritchie, Jr.  
**Secretary** — Max A. Messenger  
**Treasurer** — William J. Forbes  
**Chairman-Associate Division** — Bill W. Harvey

### Directors:

T.J. Brisky — Charleston  
W.C.M. Butler, III — Charleston  
C.E. Compton — Clarksburg  
D.R. Donell — Weirton  
J.R. Fairchild — Beckley  
J.J. Falts — Pittsburgh, PA  
L.W. Hamilton, Jr. — Hansford  
J.H. Harless — Gilbert  
Gerald Hartley — Lancaster, OH  
M.J. Hudak — Beckley  
M.C. Jenkins — Kingwood  
C.T. Jones — Charleston  
J.C. Justice — Beckley

D.M. Keating — Clarksburg  
R.G. Lockard — Clarksburg  
R.C. Stevens, Jr. — Clarksburg  
L.A. Streets — Mt. Storm  
A.K. Teeter — Charleston  
R.N. Welch — Bridgeport  
J.R. White — Beckley  
E.M. Williams — Summersville  
T.R. Vargo — Pittsburgh, PA  
F.W. Vigneault — Charleston  
J.F. Yanik, Jr. — Naugatuck

**Honorary Member**  
F.B. Nutter, Sr. — Ft. Lauderdale, FL



# BECKWITH COUNTRY SKILLFUL!

Our part of the country is rich in resources. One of the greatest resources of all is our people. Skilled, hardworking people dedicated to getting the job done—well!

There's much productive work left to be done in our part of America. And we've got the people to do it. It's the responsibility of business, industry, labor and government to keep our people working... working to create the prosperity that has made our part of the

country the stable, productive place that it is. Our commitment here is demonstrated by the opening of our sophisticated Blawnox weld shop.

We're Beckwith Machinery Company, your Caterpillar Dealer. We provided the equipment that helped get it all started: mining the coal; building the factories; moving the material; improving the roads. We've invested seventy-five years in helping to build this part of the country and we're dedicated to keeping a good thing going.



**BECKWITH**   
Machinery Company  
PITTSBURGH, PA • ALTOONA, PA • BRADFORD, PA • CLARION, PA  
CLEARFIELD, PA • ERIE, PA • SOMERSET, PA • CLARKSBURG, WV  
MATERIAL HANDLING DIVISION, N. VERSAILLES, PA  
**YOUR CATERPILLAR DEALER**  
Caterpillar, Cat and  are Trademarks of Caterpillar Tractor Co.



## Cast a thoughtful vote

The upcoming West Virginia primary is of special importance to the coal industry. Recent elections have demonstrated more graphically than ever just what effect the electoral process can have on the key industry of any given state.

On a national level, the change in administration followed the 1980 presidential election was accompanied by a much needed change in regulatory attitude. At the same time, the new government placed less emphasis on the development of coal in the national energy policy.

Statewide, we have seen the West Virginia Supreme Court all but take over the governmental process changing and striking laws and regulations willy nilly to suit its own philosophy. The State Senate has become so dominated by an anti-coal bias that industry support has become the kiss-of-death for prospective legislation.

These are correctable problems, and now is the time to take corrective action. Obviously, it is important that coal people go to the polls and encourage their friends to do likewise. But perhaps even more critical is that we cast a thoughtful ballot. Voting without knowledge or the candidates can be more negative than not voting at all. A careless vote can help elect a candidate whose principles are directly at odds with those of the careless voter.

We will not presume to tell our readers which party or candidate to support, but there are some important points to consider when making your ballot.

**President**—If you think your favorite candidate is a

primary shoo-in, then consider whom you would rather he run against in the fall—your second favorite, or your least favorite.

**U.S. Senator**—The senator we elect this year will be replacing a powerful voice in Washington, and U.S. Senate seats don't change hands all that often in West Virginia.

**Congressman**—All four incumbents are seeking reelection; three are freshmen congressmen. It is a political rule of thumb that incumbent congressmen are most vulnerable to defeat at the end of the first term. After two terms, they tend to become firmly entrenched. Now is the time to carefully consider the shape and philosophy of the West Virginia delegation.

**State Supreme Court**—We are still looking for the outer limits of the powers of the Court, but we do know the following: the term for an elected justice is TWELVE YEARS; the Court consists of only five members; two are standing for reelection this year.

**State Senate**—Simply consider if your incumbent senator is one of the few voices in the wilderness of the upper chamber. If so, keep the incumbent; if not, almost any change would be an improvement.

**House of Delegates** — The legislature's lower chamber is the starting point of many a political career. The man or woman you elect today will be writing your legislation next year, and could someday be your governor.



# Announcing The New Fairchild Mark 21-B The Super Bee



Our research and development department at Fairchild International has taken thirty years of technology and made important innovations to the mine-proven Mark 21. Now, you can mine cleaner coal faster and more efficient than ever before.

We've added thirteen new advantages to the Mark 21 including: low maintenance and production costs; increased production capabilities; safer operational features; increased mobility; ability to mine cleaner coal; and a proven concept that's priced at almost half the cost of competitive mining systems.



Introducing the Mark 21-B, The Super Bee. Stronger. More reliable. More mobile. And, a more cost-efficient miner. Here's how:

1. Bigger bits for cutting a more uniform size of coal.
2. Optional sand-filled augers to create an even more quiet atmosphere.
3. Controlled cutting speed to cut cleaner coal, reduce maintenance costs, and increase production capabilities.
4. New heavy-duty speed reducers which provide a more productive 69 r.p.m., resulting in better clean-up and less dust.
5. A new stronger triple sprocket to ensure less down-time.
6. New brass alloy bearings double the load carrying capability.
7. A new heavy-duty chain for more pulling power and to reduce the risk of breakage.
8. & 9. New heavy-duty extension arms and pedestals for more overall strength, support and mobility.
10. New direct-drive scrolls to assist in clean-up efficiency.
11. New water pump to lessen amount of dust and particles filtering into the air.
12. Newly relocated boom cylinder for increased strength and rigidity to the miner's boom.
13. New heavy-duty motor shaft for increased power.

Call your local Fairchild representative today, or contact Fairchild International, P.O. Box 1184, Beckley, West Virginia 25802, (304) 255-2131, TWX 710-938-8463. Find out how we can help you through our exclusive Fairchild Service Exchange and Fairchild Retrofit Manufacturing Programs.

The Fairchild Advantage. Technological know-how to continuously provide you with the latest, most cost-efficient and reliable mining equipment available.



# Shouldn't You TA II?


You invest large amounts of money purchasing equipment for one reason — production! Protect your investment and eliminate unscheduled production delays with an inexpensive TA II from Walker.

Technical Analysis II is a complete systems check that can detect mechanical problems *before* they happen. Using highly sensitive diagnostic equipment, our TA specialists check the engine and fuel systems, transmission, cooling and hydraulic system of your machine at the job site. The check also includes oil samples from all compartments and filter examination.

You get a complete written report detailing your machine's condition and listing the work required immediately, what needs to be done in the next 100 hours, and what can wait for your next scheduled maintenance check. You'll also get a written quote for Walker to perform any needed work.

TA II is available from Walker Machinery at a very reasonable firm price per machine. And Walker has the skilled specialists it takes to make it work for you.

Because it's a small price to pay to protect your large equipment investment, shouldn't you TA II?



I'd like to know more about Walker's Technical Analysis II program and how it can protect my equipment investment.

Name \_\_\_\_\_


Company \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Write or call: Chuck King, Walker Machinery Company  
P.O. Box 2427, Charleston, West Virginia, 25329, (304) 949-6400.

Parkersburg 304-485-4547 • Summersville 304-872-4303 • Charleston 304-949-6400 • Beckley 304-253-2706 • Logan 304-752-0300



Send for your  
free TA II  
kit today.



# How Much A Ton?

How much does it cost to mine a ton of coal in West Virginia? Such a question invariably raises dozens of others. What kind of coal seam? By what method? Union or union free? How large an operation? Under what market conditions? How about tippie and transportation situations? And the life length of the operation?


These are questions that coal operators face on a daily basis in their

business. We'd like to get a handle on some typical mining cost figures and share them with our readers. Anybody can look up the cost of equipment and the wages of a miner. But there are endless hidden costs imposed by government regulation—permitting fees, taxes, employee benefits, things like that.

We'd like your help in compiling a comprehensive list of the costs of mining coal in West Virginia. If you'll

make some notes on your experiences in this area, particularly those costs imposed directly or indirectly by regulation and law, it will make our final report that much more complete.

Send your contributions to **Green Lands**, Attn: Daniel Miller, 1624 Kanawha Blvd. E., Charleston, W.Va., 25311, or call (304) 346-5318.



# Where's Our Share?

West Virginia's coal production continues to be a story of decline. The Mountain State has always been among the nation's leading coal producers, and no state is more coal intensive.

Yet, while U.S. and W.V. production totals have generally followed the traditional "feast and famine" cycle, the frightening fact is that West Virginia's share of national coal production has plummeted over the last 15 years, as

shown on the graph below.


Expert analysts can point to a number of reasons for this development—western coal development, more comprehensive regulation, rising transportation costs, unstable labor, etc.

Those factors are present throughout the coal industry, but still West Virginia suffers in comparison with other coal heavyweights. We lack the spectacular growth of Wyoming, the

stable production level of Illinois, the sheer bulk tonnage of Kentucky, and the diverse economy of Pennsylvania.

Political leaders talk about the importance of coal in the national energy picture, but it must be realized that West Virginia coal has its own particular problems, and these must be corrected. National leaders are by nature concerned with national problems. West Virginia must look to itself for answers.

West Virginia Share of U.S. Production





## 1983 W.Va. Production by County

COUNTY	UNDERGROUND	SURFACE	TOTAL
Barbour	1,042,031	1,262,840	2,304,871
Boone	11,726,899	4,176,396	15,903,295
Braxton	1,689,415	91,265	1,780,680
Brooke	877,015	566,971	1,443,986
Clay	50,648	69,446	120,094
Fayette	407,181	1,218,730	1,625,911
Gilmer	12,326	—	12,326
Grant	2,412,628	546,734	2,959,362
Greenbrier	150,885	156,075	306,960
Harrison	2,392,304	905,440	3,297,744
Kanawha	4,148,151	3,031,148	7,179,299
Lewis	338,079	348,222	686,301
Logan	7,039,368	2,019,678	9,059,046
McDowell	5,688,585	485,118	6,173,703
Marion	7,926,165	31,773	7,957,938
Marshall	5,208,207	—	5,208,200
Mason	—	29,727	29,727
Mercer	214,146	2,563	216,709
Mineral	352,281	302,754	655,035
Mingo	4,188,759	1,705,791	5,894,550
Monongalia	14,371,755	762,105	15,133,860
Nicholas	2,847,094	965,037	3,812,131
Ohio	—	120,351	120,351
Preston	1,521,239	1,125,049	2,646,288
Putnam	—	—	—
Raleigh	6,542,326	412,431	6,954,288
Randolph	1,048,810	218,644	1,267,454
Summers	—	—	—
Taylor	—	23,441	23,441
Tucker	—	332,979	332,979
Upshur	1,607,291	1,172,237	2,779,528
Wayne	115,106	2,302	117,408
Webster	283,646	593,942	877,588
Wyoming	8,088,869	165,056	8,253,925
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>92,291,209</b>	<b>22,844,245</b>	<b>115,135,454</b>

## 1983 Production by Month

MONTH	UNDERGROUND	SURFACE	TOTAL
January	7,259,027	1,952,126	9,211,153
February	7,225,194	1,520,869	8,746,063
March	8,293,721	1,859,202	10,152,923
April	7,678,388	1,847,725	9,526,113
May	7,795,221	1,953,327	9,748,548
June	6,765,773	1,910,431	8,676,204
July	5,196,062	1,654,749	6,850,811
August	8,843,220	2,153,274	10,996,494
September	8,421,439	2,016,485	10,437,924
October	8,447,595	2,099,481	10,547,076
November	7,827,457	1,918,211	9,745,668
December	8,538,112	1,958,365	10,496,477
	<b>92,291,209</b>	<b>22,844,245</b>	<b>115,135,454</b>
<b>1982</b>	<b>104,216,916</b>	<b>24,561,160</b>	<b>128,778,070</b>

## The Rise of Wyoming Coal

For the most part, the heavy producers of coal have always been the heavy producers of coal. A look at the following sample years of coal production reveals that changes in coal production rankings for the most part reflect the upsurge in Kentucky's coal industry following World War II, and

more recently the development of western coal, as dramatically illustrated by Wyoming. West Virginia lost its annual leadership to Kentucky in 1971, and if the current trend follows through this year, we will fall to third behind Wyoming which just a decade ago, produced a state record 21 million tons.

	1945	1959	1970	1977	1979	1983
1.	WV	WV	WV	KY	KY	KY
2.	PA	PA	KY	WV	WV	WV
3.	IL	KY	PA	PA	PA	WY
4.	KY	IL	IL	IL	WY	PA
5.	OH	OH	OH	OH	IL	IL

## West Virginia's U.S. Share Year by Year

(numbers expressed in million tons)

YEAR	WV	US	WV%	YEAR	WV	US	WV%
1935	99.2	372.4	.266	1960	118.9	415.5	.286
1936	117.9	439.1	.269	1961	113.1	403.0	.272
1937	118.6	445.6	.266	1962	118.5	422.1	.281
1938	93.2	348.6	.267	1963	132.6	458.9	.289
1939	108.4	394.9	.274	1964	141.4	487.2	.290
1940	126.4	460.8	.274	1965	149.2	512.1	.291
1941	140.2	514.1	.273	1966	149.7	533.9	.280
1942	155.9	582.7	.268	1967	153.7	552.6	.278
1943	148.8	590.1	.252	1968	145.9	545.2	.268
1944	164.7	619.6	.266	1969	141.0	560.5	.252
1945	152.0	577.6	.263	1970	144.1	602.9	.239
1946	144.0	533.9	.270	1971	118.3	552.2	.214
1947	176.1	630.6	.279	1972	123.7	595.4	.208
1948	168.9	599.5	.282	1973	115.4	591.7	.195
1949	123.8	435.0	.285	1974	102.5	603.4	.170
1950	144.1	560.4	.257	1975	109.3	648.4	.169
1951	163.3	576.3	.283	1976	108.9	678.7	.160
1952	141.7	466.8	.304	1977	95.4	688.6	.139
1953	134.1	457.3	.293	1978	85.3	665.1	.128
1954	116.0	391.7	.296	1979	112.4	772.3	.146
1955	139.1	464.6	.299	1980	121.8	823.6	.148
1956	155.9	500.9	.311	1981	112.8	818.4	.138
1957	156.8	492.7	.318	1982	128.8	829.2	.155
1958	119.5	410.4	.291	1983	115.1	780.8	.147
1959	119.7	412.0	.291				



# Serving the Coal Industry's Special Insurance Needs.

The coal industry is a very specialized field. Insuring the coal industry is also very specialized.

At McDonough Caperton Shepherd Group, we know how important it is to have the right kind of insurance coverage.

We've been serving the coal industry's special insurance needs for over 40 years. We have a staff of over 300 people to serve your insurance and bonding needs.

McDonough Caperton Shepherd Group also has in-house engineering and claims service. We can offer comprehensive insurance programs, designed specifically for coal operators.

To learn more about what we can do for you, call or write for a copy of our brochure, *Insuring the Coal Industry*.



**McDonough  
Caperton  
Shepherd  
Group**



Corporate Headquarters:  
McDonough Caperton Shepherd Group  
One Hillcrest Drive, East  
P.O. Box 1551, Charleston, WV 25326  
(304) 346-0611

SOR MINIBRUTE AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM • V8 325 HP EN  
MACK TRANSMISSIONS • 15" & 14" INSULATOR PADS • ABEY  
HEELSEALS • TH  
TER  
O SE  
CE NE  
INJE  
NDE  
FLUSH  
KIBUIL  
DOO B  
TLINE  
KE DRU  
VELINE  
S • SPIC  
ANIC C  
AXLES  
R SPRIN  
DOO BOG  
TER PUM  
CK ENGIN  
MIC FACE  
LINING • E  
ED & GRO  
REAR END  
TERS • LOV  
CK POWERE  
DIES • MAXI  
UILT UNIVERSAL JOINTS • DM FIBERGLASS HOODS • COMPLE

LOOK! Up There! IT'S  
**SUPERMACK**

A New Breed of Mack Truck Built for the Tough Eastern Coal Fields!



**NEW 1984 MACK TRUCKS at 1983 MACK PRICES!!**  
only we can make this offer...

Mack Trucks, specially built for the rugged mountainous terrain of Eastern Kentucky, West Virginia, Virginia, Tennessee and Ohio. More power and higher traveling speed. The Mack RD-821SX comes with many, many options included in this special price! Such as air-conditioning AM/FM Stereo, Lots of chrome, coal body and much, much more! You can't get these special Macks anywhere else! But even if you could buy a comparable truck -- you couldn't buy it for less than we offer! If we can't meet or beat any deal on any comparable truck offer in writing, we'll give you \$100. for your troubles! We're that confident you can't buy any better for less!

...BRAKE • FACTORY EXCHAN  
...TRANSMISSIONS • FRONT & REAR AXLE KIT  
...BELTS • REAR SP



Worldwide Equipment, Inc.  
P.O. Box 71  
Prestonsburg, KY 41653  
(606) 874-2172

## WORLDWIDE EQUIPMENT

**SERVICE PARTS, INC.**

Lowmansville, KY  
(606) 297-6401

**EASTERN KENTUCKY MACK**

Prestonsburg, KY  
(606) 874-2172



**Mack Cline**

**MID-SOUTH MACK**

London, KY  
(606) 864-9612

Middlesboro, KY  
(606) 248-5100

**MOUNTAIN STATE MACK OF NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA**

Weston, WV  
(304) 269-2101

**MOUNTAINEER MACK**

Huntington, WV  
(304) 736-3401

**MID-MOUNTAIN MACK**

Princeton, WV  
(304) 426-7511

NTIFREEZE • FUEL ADDITIVES • CARRIER BEARINGS • 650 SERIES



# Coal in the United States: A Status Report

By Harry Perry, Energy Consultant and Consultant in Residence,  
"Energy for the Future"

At the turn of the century coal supplied more than 93 percent of U.S. energy requirements, but this share declined steadily until by 1972 it provided only 17.3 percent, with oil and natural gas providing most of the balance. At that time its future was still highly uncertain, with low-cost oil from the Middle East competing vigorously with coal for utility and large industrial markets, aided by newly enacted air and water pollution laws that made coal more costly to use than it otherwise would have been. Natural gas was still in ample supply and, since regulation maintained its price below market clearing levels, it was the preferred fuel for residential and industrial users. The size of the market for coal in the manufacture of coke for U.S. steel production depended entirely on steel demand, which had been declining because of increased competition from other materials and from imported steel. The coal export market was relatively stable but with little prospect for expansion given the low prices for world oil and the absence of a growing steel market for which high-quality U.S. metallurgical coals were used.

In spite of these dim prospects for the future use of a domestic energy source with an almost unlimited resource base, only the coal industry showed any concern about the situation before the 1973-1974 oil embargo. By that time U.S. energy dependence on foreign oil had already risen to 23.5 percent of oil demand and 10.4 percent

of total domestic energy requirements, with an even greater future reliance on oil imports a seeming certainty. The embargo demonstrated the potential threat that this heavy reliance on oil imports could have on U.S. economic and military security. The quadrupling of oil prices in 1973-1974 and the fear of even further sharp price rises (which actually occurred in 1979) raised additional concerns about the long-term economic implications of much higher energy cost. Thus, for both security and cost considerations, energy policy-makers turned to the large reserves of domestic coal, which could be supplied at low costs, as a possible means of providing solutions to these newly developed energy problems.

After the oil supply disruption, starting in October 1973, a variety of administrative and legislative actions were taken with the objective of achieving "energy self-sufficiency," "energy independence," or "energy interdependence." These included both national and international initiatives, since the balance of the world was also concerned with reducing oil imports from OPEC countries, and for many countries, including the United States, one possible method of achieving this goal was to increase reliance on coal.

In the United States a number of policy initiatives were undertaken to increase coal use, and by 1982 coal's share of U.S. energy supply was 22.1 percent, having risen slightly from a low in 1972 of 17.3 percent. There were

several reasons for this change. Government initiatives were designed to increase coal use and price-induced switching to coal occurred wherever possible. Since electric power generation, which is heavily coal-based, was less affected than other fuel uses by the economic recession and conservation efforts, coal's share of the market was less affected than other fuel uses by fuels. In spite of this somewhat more favorable situation for coal, the future for coal is still extremely uncertain because of the current (1982-1983) oil glut accompanied by a still undermined but very significant drop in world oil prices; the sudden development, for the short term at least, of adequate U.S. natural gas supplies (albeit at higher prices); the much higher rail rates for transporting coal that have evolved since rail deregulation; the delayed or scrapped industry plans for constructing synthetic-fuels plants using coal; and the still-to-be-resolved issues of environmental controls (including acid rain). These all contribute to the doubts about coal's role in U.S. energy supply for both the immediate and longer term.

Following is an examination of the current status of the use of U.S. coal resources and the factors that can be expected to influence the future role of coal.

## U.S. Coal Resources and Reserves

Coal resources and reserves of the United States are extremely large,

with proved reserves alone of more than 280 billion tons, enough to supply all U.S. energy needs for many decades. About three-quarters of the tonnage of surface-minable coal is west of the Mississippi, while nearly equal amounts of underground reserves are found east and west of the Mississippi. All subbituminous coal reserves and virtually all lignite reserves are in the West; comparatively small reserves of anthracite are found in the East.

Most western coal deposits are characterized by their low rank—subbituminous coal has a heating value of about 10,000 Btu's per pound (5600 kcal/kg) and lignite has a heating value of about 7000 Btu's per pound (3900 kcal/kg) compared with a heating value of 11,000 to 14,000 Btu's per pound (6100 to 7800 kcal/kg) for eastern coals. Western coals are generally low in sulfur (0.6 percent is typical) and occur in thick seams—10 feet to more than 200 feet. The sulfur content of eastern coals ranges from 0.6 to more than 6 percent, with a large share of the coals having a sulfur content in the 2.0 to 3.5 percent range. Most of the eastern coal seams are much thinner than western deposits—2.5 to 8 feet thick is common—and many of them are only suitable for underground mining.

## Trends in the Coal Industry and Their Causes

*Coal production and productivity.* Table 1 shows U.S. bituminous coal and lignite production for selected years from 1920 to 1981. Production reached a first peak of 631 million tons in 1947 but declined sharply after the war. By 1954 production had declined to 392 million tons, a drop of one-third in only 7 years. This decline was due to the availability of low-cost oil imports, the development of more energy-efficient diesel fuel locomotives, and to

Table 1. U.S. bituminous coal and lignite production, selected years, 1920 to 1981 (33).

Year	Production (thousands of short tons)
1920	568,667
1923	467,526
1940	460,772
1947	630,624
1950	516,311
1954	391,706
1955	464,633
1960	415,512
1965	512,088
1970	602,932
1971	552,192
1972	595,386
1973	591,000
1974	603,406
1975	648,438
1976	678,685
1977	691,344
1978	665,127
1979	677,286
1980	699,061
1981	814,716

the construction of long-distance, natural gas pipelines that brought the natural gas from the southwestern United States, where much of it had been flared, to eastern markets. As a result, coal lost virtually all of the residential and part of the industrial market to oil and gas and the railroad market to oil.

Both the geographic distribution of coal production and the share of coal produced by surface mining have shifted radically in recent years. In 1970 about 93 percent of all coal was produced east of the Mississippi River, largely in the Appalachian region. Western coal production grew from 44 million tons in 1970 to 268 million tons in 1981, when it represented about 33 percent of the total coal production. During the same period surface mine coal production increased from 272 million tons in 1970 (44 percent of total production) to 494 million tons in 1981

(61 percent of total production). Passage of the Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, combined with other coal industry developments, reduced productivity in the predominantly eastern underground coal mines about 46 percent in 10 years—from 15.6 tons per man-day in 1969 to a low of 8.38 tons per man-day in 1978. Surface mine productivity, concentrated in the West, declined only 29 percent during this period and enhanced the economic attractiveness of surface-mined coal. Since then productivity has increased about 25 percent in both surface and underground mines.

*Coal prices.* Average coal prices at the mine (expressed in constant dollars), rose by a factor of 2 between 1969 and 1981. However, prices vary widely among regions: in the western states in 1980 the weighted average value of production was \$11.17 per ton or \$0.55 per million Btu's, while the average value of Appalachian underground coal was \$35.69 per ton or \$1.55 per million Btu's.

*Domestic coal consumption.* After the loss of the residential and railroad markets during the 1940's, major domestic markets for coal were for electricity generation; manufacture of coke for steel production; and, in the industrial sector declined from the early and power. Consumption by the industrial sector declined from the early post-World War II years to about 1978 but has increased slightly since then. Coal used for coke production continues to decline as steel production declines.

Generation of electricity grew at a rapid rate starting in the middle 1920's. The very recent decline in the growth rate in electricity demand is due partly to the general economic recession and partly to conservation. However, the electric utility market for coal has not been as adversely affected as has the



## Coal in the United States: A Status Report

market for other fuels. Although electricity production grew an average of only 1 percent per year between 1979 and 1981, coal use by the electric utility industry grew by about 6 percent per year during that period. This is a reflection of the less-than-projected additions of nuclear electricity-generating plants and of the preference for using coal in place of other fossil fuels whenever it was possible to do so.

One reason for the preference for coal for electricity generation is that it can be delivered to utility plants at a much lower cost per million Btu's than can either oil or gas. In September 1982 the cost advantage of coal was a factor of more than two over gas and nearly a factor of three over oil. Although there is some economic penalty for using coal in place of other fuels, since it involves higher capital costs (coal stockpiling and grinding equipment and equipment to remove particulates and sulfur oxides) and higher operating costs (ash and sulfur oxide control and disposal of collected solids), at current, delivered prices coal can be utilized at most utilities at lower cost than other fuels.

**Coal exports.** Exports became an important market for U.S. coal producers following World War II, when Western Europe was short of fuel. Between 1950 and 1979 coal exports varied between 30 million and 75 million tons per year, with an average year's export being about 50 million tons. Most of the coal exported in this period was of metallurgical quality.

The renewed worldwide interest in using fuels other than petroleum, stimulated by the 1973-1974 oil embargo and sharp price increases that accompanied it, had matured by 1979 and was intensified by the doubling of oil prices in that year. Coal exports jumped from 66 million tons in 1979 to 92 million tons in 1980 and to 113 million tons in 1981.

Most of the growth in demand was for coal to be used for steam generation (by the electric utility and industrial sectors) rather than its traditional use for coke production. This very large increase in exports in 1980 and 1981 was in part a result of disruptions in supplies from other exporting countries. Importers turned to the United States for supplies that had become unavailable elsewhere.

**Structure of the coal industry and work force.** Historically, the coal industry consisted of a large number of small companies operating many small mines and a few major companies with large productive capacity. Starting after World War II, a major technological change took place in the industry: mechanization of production, which required much larger capital investments than had been previously needed to open new mines. The high capital costs of mechanization increased barriers to entry to small operators; as a result, production has become concentrated in the last 25 years, with fewer companies accounting for a larger share of total production. In 1965, the category of the largest coal mines (500,000 tons per year or more) represented only 3.6 percent of all mines, but produced 57 percent of all the coal, while the smallest mines produced 14 percent of the total. By 1981 the largest mines accounted for 60 percent of the production and the smallest mines about 6 percent.

This concentration of production in larger mines was mainly the result of changes in ownership patterns. In 1960, 20 of the 25 largest coal-producing groups consisted of companies devoted only to coal mining or steel companies extracting coal from their captive operations for use in steelmaking. By 1980, of the 15 top producing groups, only two were devoted entirely to coal production. The others were captive operations of

steel or electric utility companies, large energy companies (mainly oil), and conglomerates.

The shift to mechanization of underground coal mines that raised their labor productivity, the increased share of production from less labor-intensive surface mining, and the decrease in total coal production during the 1950's greatly reduced the mining work force. Employment dropped from more than 400,000 miners in 1950 to about 130,000 in the early 1960's. The increase in demand for coal that started in the 1960's and the sharp decline in underground productivity after 1969 (a less steep one occurred in surface mining) required additional manpower, and by 1980 about 225,000 miners were employed by the industry.

### Coal Transportation

**Coal transport modes.** In recent years the electric utilities, by far the largest user of coal, have tried to eliminate or reduce transport costs by siting power plants at the mine mouth or as close to the mine as possible. In 1982, of the coal that was transported, the railroads handled 59 percent of all coal used domestically, with most of the balance transported by barge and truck. Average rail rates increased from \$3.71 per ton in 1973 to \$12.50 per ton in 1982, but rail transportation costs as a percentage of the delivered price of coal remained essentially constant at about 30 percent. However, those increases in rail freight rates occurred prior to the passage of the Staggers Rail Act of 1980, which in effect partially deregulated freight transportation. The effect of this legislative change on coal freight rates is still developing.

Barge transportation of coal can be used only for mines with access to the inland waterway system, but is utilized wherever possible, as coal can be transported over long distances at about

one-half the cost per ton-mile of rail transport. Barges transported 16 percent of all coal used domestically that was mined in 1982, nearly all in the mid-Atlantic eastern states.

Trucks accounted for 14 percent of the coal transported for domestic use in 1982: they are generally used when coal has to be transported over relatively short distances, either directly to markets or to some other transport mode for further shipment to more distant markets.

**Recent developments in coal transportation technology.** Although the cost of transportation as a share of the delivered cost of coal has remained constant, there continues to be great interest in reducing transport costs. For large tonnages delivered from a limited number of producers to a few consumers, "unit trains", introduced in the 1960's, can reduce transportation costs appreciably. Unit trains moved 54 percent of all coal moved by rail in 1980.

Another method of coal transport is by coal slurry pipeline. In this transport mode coal is ground to a fine size, mixed with about an equal weight of water, and then pumped through a pipeline in a manner similar to piping any liquid material. One slurry pipeline is in operation, transporting 5 million tons of coal per year over nearly 300 miles from Arizona to Nevada. With the development in the West of very large surface mines, which must ship coal over long distances to electric utilities, interest has increased in pipeline transportation, since with low-cost surface-mined coal and long shipping distances, transportation becomes a large part of delivered costs. For example, in 1980 the average price of coal in Wyoming was \$10.54 per ton at the mine, but its delivered costs to utilities in Illinois averaged \$38.94 per ton. Thus, the transport cost by rail was almost three times the price of the coal.

### Research and Development

Historically, the coal industry had little interest in research and development activities because of the many small companies involved, the almost continuously depressed financial position of the industry, and, during certain periods, the lack of competition for its product. As a result, most coal research was conducted by government on the industry's behalf, but expenditures were very modest. For most of the post-World War II period, federal expenditures on energy research were concentrated on the development of nuclear energy—a coal competitor in the electric utility industry.

With the establishment first of the Energy Research and Development Administration in 1974 and then of the Department of Energy in 1977, and in line with the federal commitment expressed in the National Energy Plans, expenditures on coal research increased substantially: by 1980 the federal R&D coal budget reached about \$750 million per year. The programs included R&D on all aspects of coal research—coal mining, preparation, direct combustion, and conversion to synthetic fuels—with the greatest share being expended for research on conversion of coal to synthetic liquids and gases.

In June 1980 the Synthetic Fuels Corporation was established to promote the creation of a privately financed domestic synfuels industry. The corporation supports new commercial synthetic fuel ventures through the use of price guarantees, purchase commitments, loan guarantees and direct loans, and participation, if necessary, in joint ventures. Under various legislative acts, in the spring of 1983 the corporation had available to it nearly \$15 billion in appropriated funds to carry out its mission.

With the establishment of the Syn-

thetic Fuels Corporation and the change in administration in January 1981, the R&D appropriations for the coal program of the Department of Energy were reduced drastically, even though the Synthetic Fuels Corporation is prevented by its legislation from supporting R&D. The Administration's proposed budget for fiscal year 1983 included under \$100 million for coal research, but this was increased by Congress to about \$300 million. Sharp budget cuts have resulted in a greatly reduced national coal research program, since with few exceptions the coal industry is still not investing extensively in research activities.

### Future Developments

As noted above, since the mid-1970's U.S. national energy policy, as well as that of other major industrialized countries, has been directed toward increasing coal's contribution to the energy supply. This policy has raised questions as to whether constraints could arise in the various segments of the coal system—production, transportation, and utilization—that would prevent this goal from being met.

### Constraints on Coal Production

A large variety of factors can affect the rate of expansion of coal production: the reserve and resource base, equipment, capital, manpower, environmental regulations, health and safety regulations, socioeconomic factors, water resources, and labor-management relations.

There is a more-than-adequate supply of domestic coal for increasing production to any foreseeable level and for any reasonable time (see above.) However, while nearly all of the eastern coal deposits are in private ownership, as much as 70 percent of the western reserves are in federal ownership and



## Coal in the United States: A Status Report

not all of the remaining 30 percent is readily available for development. Thus, the timely use of western coal reserves will depend on the actions of the government agencies and, to a lesser degree, on those of private groups holding existing coal reserves.

Leasing of additional federal western coal lands involves consideration of many complex problems. Widespread interest in these lands did not develop until the 1960's. By 1971 there was concern that speculation was occurring on federal lands because of the large number of leases in private hands that had been acquired under the liberal terms of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920.

In May 1971 the Department of the Interior placed a moratorium on leasing of federal coal lands which was not lifted until January 1981. During the moratorium the Federal Coal Leasing Amendments Act of 1976 was enacted; it contained provisions designed to inhibit speculation on federal coal lands.

When the moratorium was invoked in 1971, an estimated 16 billion tons of federal coal were under lease, with an additional 5.4 billion tons in "preference right lease applications". After leasing was resumed, an additional 2.2 billion tons of coal were leased and are now in private hands for development. The major question is whether these coal resources are sufficient to provide the competition that is necessary for the orderly development of the western coal resources. Although the tonnage leased is large compared with current western coal production, not all of it can be economically recovered: part is in units too small to justify development, part is found under geological conditions that make economic development impractical at this time or that make the resources disqualified for development under the Surface Mining Act of 1977, and part is held by lessees unwilling or unable to

undertake development. Moreover, projections of demand for western coal indicate a continuation of rapidly expanding market (between 1970 and 1981 production increased at nearly 20 percent per year), and with dedicated reserves of 30 years or more needed to satisfy large potential coal consumers, the leased tonnage may be insufficient to provide competition among suppliers.

Although there are relatively few manufacturers of large underground equipment or certain types of surface mining equipment, no serious shortages of either have been experienced in recent years, and no change in this situation is expected. However, the anticipated growth in demand for coal in the next 10 years will require large investments of capital to open new mines. With long-term purchase commitments for the coal to be produced, raising this capital should present no difficulties. The total investment needed for new mines would be about \$60 billion to \$70 billion or about \$4.5 billion to \$5.5 billion per year. For the large oil and conglomerate companies now engaged in coal mining, investments of this size in the coal industry, with sales of \$21 billion per year, should present no problems.

There were occasional local manpower shortages after the large, existing labor pool had been absorbed during the current period of coal production expansion that started in the early 1970's. However, there has been no difficulty in recruiting needed personnel. Training of new miners requires time and productivity suffers for a period, but manpower availability has not been, and in the long run should not prove to be a constraint. With much of the new production expected to come from western surface mines, manpower will be even less of a problem in the future. Surface mines have much higher productivity and do not require

workers with the specialized training necessary for underground mining. Thus, manpower can be recruited from a much larger labor pool, since the skills sought are similar to those used in large earth-moving operations and these are not scarce.

The Surface Mining Act of 1977 made environmental regulations related to coal production much more restrictive for surface than for underground mining. For underground coal mines, water pollution mainly involves acids and dissolved and suspended solids formed during the mining operation; these can be controlled with relative ease. Control technology for surface mines is more difficult than for underground mines, and depends mainly on managing surface waters so they do not become contaminated, or if they should, impounding and treating them before discharge. The best and most economic methods of achieving this control are a matter of dispute and have led to a number of challenges to the regulations promulgated to enforce the Surface Mining Act of 1977. These are currently in the courts awaiting decision.

Much larger tonnages of solid waste are created at surface mines than at underground mines, and these surface mine wastes tend to be more chemically heterogeneous. More careful treatment in disposal is thus required. In the case of contour surface mining, which produces large volumes of excess spoil, very complex and expensive disposal methods are required.

Experience gained in complying with the Surface Mining Act of 1977 has indicated that surface mining can be conducted successfully at nearly all sites without producing unacceptable levels of environmental degradation if proper planning and control measures are taken. The major impact of meeting these environmental standards is an increase in the cost of surface-mined coal estimated to be from \$1 to as much as

\$5 per ton, depending on geological conditions.

Providing safe working conditions for miners is much more difficult in underground than in surface mines. The Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969 greatly strengthened the regulations for coal mine working conditions. As a result fatality and accident rates have been reduced significantly, as has exposure to those conditions that are thought to cause black lung disease. These regulations increased coal production costs, but even the approximate amount caused by the more restrictive regulations has been difficult to estimate.

Adequacy of water resources does not present a major problem for eastern coal mining but is of concern at many proposed mining locations in the arid regions of the West. In those regions, water availability for new uses can present problems because of the complex water right laws of western states, the Indian tribes' claims for water, and the political difficulties of transferring water from existing low-value users to other users and of transferring water from one region to another. Nevertheless, the relatively small expected increase in coal production for the next 10 to 20 years. Of greater concern is that the water needed for the large concern is the water needed for the large number of power plants and conversion facilities that would be using coal (or other fossil fuels) could constrain the number of plants that can be ultimately be constructed.

There is a long history of labor disputes between the United Mine Workers (UMWA) union, which until recently represented a very large percentage of coal miners, and industry management. The UMW now represents a much smaller share of the miners, with union participation concentrated on eastern mines. This could reduce the importance to the industry of

future contract negotiations. Recent labor-management regulations and disputes have occurred, in part, because of the changing nature of the coal mining work force and the aspirations of new, young miners to share in the benefits generated by the revitalization of the coal industry during the 1960's and 1970's. The long strikes that occurred as recently as 1978-1979 (111 days) and 1981 (76 days) reinforced the public perception of an industry with major unsettled labor problems and raised questions among consumers, both at home and abroad, about reliability of coal supplies that could affect future coal use.

Many other uncertainties still remain about future legal and regulatory changes that could affect coal production and use. These include possible modifications in health and safety and environmental regulations, in federal leasing terms and conditions and frequency and number of leases offered, in possible legal limitations on industry structure (including ownership patterns and horizontal and vertical integration), in anti-trust issues, in sanctity of contracts for the coal export market, in tax policies affecting coal production, and in federal severance taxes on coal. In addition, possible federal actions that affect the coal industry's transportation services and its market competitors have been under review frequently. These include, for example, interpretations of recent legislation that partially deregulated the rail and trucking industries, possible repeal of the Fuel Use Act of 1978 that prohibits use of other fossil fuels in new electricity-generating plants, uncertainty about the frequency rates and size of leases offered for outer continental shelf oil and gas production, and recent proposals for natural gas price deregulation.

### Constraints on Expansion of Coal Transportations

*Rail transport.* The impact of the Staggers Rail Act of 1980, which partially deregulated railroad freight rates, cannot be fully evaluated. To the extent that it provides the railroads the means for increasing their operating efficiency and permits them to improve their earnings, it should be of benefit to the coal industry. If, however, freight rates for coal are increased sharply or set so that coal traffic essentially subsidizes transport costs for other commodities, the coal industry would be adversely affected. Small coal producers are concerned that their bargaining power under deregulation is less than that of the large producers and that they will be placed at an economic disadvantage relative to them. Recent developments have raised great concern in the coal industry about the tests that are being used by the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) to determine "market dominance," which could lead to much higher freight rates for some railroads than had been anticipated. On 24 February 1982, the ICC proposed guidelines for establishing railroad coal rates which the coal industry believes are so broad that they will result in monopoly rates.

In addition to tariff considerations, two major problems could arise if more coal must be transported: these are the ability of the railroads in the West, where much of the expansion in coal production is expected, to handle the increased traffic, and the ability of the railroads in the East to finance the additional physical plant required to continue to provide adequate service.

As far as rail equipment is concerned the railroads should be able to obtain the necessary cars and locomotives for any increased demands that the coal industry may place upon them. This can be achieved in part by improving the utilization of existing equipment through greater efficiency of operation and more wide-spread use of unit trains and, in the East, by abandonment of the



## Coal in the United States: A Status Report

less profitable lines. If it appears that more equipment is needed, the railroads would have sufficient lead time to order and receive the new equipment in the time required to open the new mines where the coal would be produced.

Western railroads, faced with the possible need to handle much larger tonnages, may find it necessary to increase the amount of track and to purchase additional rolling stock. Part of the requirement for new track could result from rerouting existing lines away from towns to avoid noise and pollution and to prevent interruption in local highway traffic. Expansion of western rail facilities to meet coal transport demands does not appear to be difficult, but bottlenecks could occur on certain routes and at certain times.

**Barge transport.** In the mid-Atlantic eastern states, barge transport of coal, along with other commodities, has been hampered in recent years by congestion at a number of locks on the inland waterway system. Delays in shipping have occurred at locks even when the economy was operating at normal levels and at current coal production rates. It will not be possible to handle increased movement of large new coal tonnages until the locks are replaced or enlarged. There has been extensive study of new facilities to handle larger barges and to increase lock capacity, but no construction has been started on locks handling coal traffic.

**Trucks.** The Motor Carrier Act of 1980 partially deregulated motor transport. Truck transportation is used mainly by eastern coal mines and is especially important to the smaller coal producers. They are concerned that the recent partial deregulation of motor transport will work to the advantage of larger producers.

There should be no constraint on availability of equipment to transport coal by truck, but concerns have been

raised about the adverse environmental impacts (dust, noise, congestion) that could be created should there be a large increase in coal movement by truck. More important, particularly to small eastern producers, is the condition of coal haulage roads. States with major road construction and reconstruction needs have initiated programs to improve their coal haul roads, but these efforts have not been large enough to solve the problem. This could be critical to some small mines, but even if the roads are not satisfactorily repaired, it should not significantly impair major coal deliveries.

**Coal slurry pipelines.** Two major obstacles are delaying the construction of new coal slurry pipelines in the United States—water availability and the right-of-way needed for siting the pipelines. There have been major objections to water use for coal slurry transport on environmental and other grounds, with water availability a much more important issue in the West than in the East.

Attempts to obtain federal legislation for eminent-domain rights for coal slurry pipelines have a 20-year history, and new legislation is now under consideration by Congress. Plans for construction of at least one pipeline to transport western coal are well advanced, and a proposal to transport Appalachian coal through the southern states to Florida has been extensively studied. During the summer of 1982 Virginia Electric Power Company (VEPCO) announced the results of a study of a proposed coal slurry pipeline to carry coal from southern West Virginia to VEPCO's coal-burning power plants in the East. According to VEPCO, coal rail freight rates for this traffic are \$19.50 per ton. Pipeline costs for the same distance were estimated at \$7.50 to \$8.26 per ton (with a 10 percent return on investment) for a pipeline capacity of 5 million tons per

year and about \$4.50 per ton for a pipeline capacity of 20 million tons per year.

**Ports for export coal.** In 1980 and 1981 U.S. coal export port capacity was insufficient to handle coal export demand, and long, costly delays in loading coal-bearing ships resulted. This constraint on coal export appears to have been eliminated by the more efficient use of existing facilities and construction of new coal-handling facilities at other U.S. ports. A large number of major expansions were announced for Baltimore, Hampton Roads, New Orleans, and Mobile, but since port congestion has now been relieved, it is uncertain how many of these will actually materialize.

U.S. coal ports still cannot handle ships larger than 60,000 dead weight tons (dwt), and even larger ships (150,000 to 200,000 dwt) are becoming increasingly important in international coal trade. To help maintain a competitive position for U.S. coal exports, ports will have to handle these larger ships. Still unresolved, however, is the question of who will bear the costs of deepening the ports, with user fees one possibility being considered.

### Constraints on Coal Utilization

Although numerous factors can adversely affect the rates and costs of coal production and transportation, broadly speaking it appears that as much coal as can be utilized could be produced and transported to consumers. Growth in coal demand will then be determined by total energy growth, relative costs of producing, transporting, using fuels by consumers, and national policies that influence market share. Coal's remaining competitive markets are for electricity generation, industrial uses, and, in the future, for conversion of coal to liquid

and gaseous fuels. Coal's two other large markets, coal for making coke for steel production and for export, are not competitive with other U.S. fuels, although they are with foreign coal suppliers. For coal's competitive markets, constraints on its use are:

- 1.) Utilization costs.
- 2.) Environmental regulations for direct combustion (air pollution, including acid rain, water pollution, and solid waste disposal).
- 3.) Commercialization rates of coal conversion plants (environmental regulations, resource availability, and socio-economic factors), and
- 4.) Coal exports.

**Utilization costs.** The consumer cost of energy is made up of the delivered cost per unit of heat in the fuel and the cost of using it. Coal is more expensive to use than oil or gas because (i) it must be stored to ensure a dependable supply (requiring land area and specialized stockpile equipment), (ii) it requires equipment for crushing and pulverizing before use, (iii) it uses more complex and expensive feeding systems, (iv) it requires larger boilers for the same steam-generating capacity, and (v) it requires larger and more sophisticated equipment for air pollution control. In addition to the higher capital costs for this extra equipment, higher operating costs are involved for labor, energy, supply and maintenance of equipment, and disposal of ash and solid wastes from scrubbers used to prevent air pollution.

Reduction of these extra costs of using coal depends upon development of new and more efficient technologies for each of the operations described above. Fluidized-bed combustion (both pressurized and atmospheric) and coal-oil or coal-water mixtures are two of the more promising new coal combustion technologies under development. They hold the potential for

reducing some of the extra costs involved in using coal, but their commercial utilization is still at a very early stage.

**Environmental regulations for direct combustion.** Both electric utility generating plants and larger industrial consumers are required to meet environmental regulations covering air and water pollution and solid-waste disposal. For coal, conforming to these regulations is much more costly than for other fossil-fuels and can increase effective coal costs by as much as 10 percent or more, thus representing a significant deterrent to expanding coal markets.

---

*"Other pollutants are receiving attention, but standards so not yet exist. The standards for sulfur oxides have been the most difficult to meet and the most vigorously enforced."*

---

In the direct combustion of coal, air pollution standards must be met for sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides, and particulates. Other air pollutants are receiving attention, but standards for compliance do not yet exist. The standards for sulfur oxides have been the most difficult to meet and the most vigorously enforced.

Control technologies for meeting the nitrogen oxide standards are available, but improved and lower-cost methods are still being investigated. Particulate removal devices are commercially available and, unless new standards are established that require less emission or removal of very fine particulates, particulate emission standards can be met.

Concern over air pollution has been a major constraint to increased

coal utilization. The unanswered technical and scientific questions, the complexity of the chemical processes occurring after emission and before deposition, and the lack of a good data base from which to assess reliably the magnitude of the problem, including the health problem, have left considerable doubt about the rationale for the regulatory standards. In addition to the uncertainties in the scientific information, the formulation of air pollution regulations has been erratic and based on policy approaches that have not solved the problem and have required frequent modifications in time-tables established to achieve state emissions levels. The involvement of Canada in the acid rain phenomenon, with its international ramifications, has greatly increased the complexity of the already difficult problems of dealing with air pollution issues. As a result, there is great uncertainty about what actions Congress will undertake with respect to the Clean Air Act.

Acid rain, the most recent concern that could affect future coal use, is generally associated with industrial activities. Acid rain is possibly caused by the emissions of sulfur oxides and nitrogen oxides from the combustion of fossil fuels, but a good understanding of how these precursor pollutants are transformed into acidic materials, transported from their emission sources, and deposited to cause acidification has not yet been obtained.

With this lack of knowledge of how lakes become acidified, but with the urgent regional and national demands to find solutions to the problem, some of the proposed amendments to the Clean Air Act now being considered would require sharp reductions in total sulfur oxide emissions. Estimates by the Department of Energy predict that the new regulations would impose a \$200-billion to \$300-billion burden on electricity consumers over the next 30 years



## Coal in the United States: A Status Report

The North American Reliability Council stated that "absent appropriate rate increases to consumers, utilities may be forced to delay or even cancel many needed generating and transmission projects."

The uncertainties about the content and timing of air pollution standards that will have to be met have made it more difficult to justify investments in coal-utilizing facilities, which are the most affected by air pollution control requirements. In addition to uncertainties about how to meet the requirements for "non-attainment regions" and for "prevention of significant deterioration", a number of new potential regulations are under consideration along with studies to identify new air pollution issues. These include possible revisions of the new source performance standards, development of regulations for the now-unregulated hazardous air pollutants, revision of state implementation plans, revision of the "offset" ruling for new facilities in nonattainment areas, and development of a visibility protection program. Studies include a review of the effects of sulfates and fine particulates, of ambient air quality standards, and of stack height regulations.

Only relatively minor water-pollution problems occur during direct combustion of coal at power plants and industrial plants producing steam and/or power. With the current ruling of the Environmental Protection Agency that solid wastes—such as those from the combustion of coal and from coal mining—are to be classified as "special wastes," their disposal does not require any special problem for the coal industry. However any change in this designation might have far-reaching impacts on the coal industry.

Where flue gas scrubbers using "throwaway" process for sulfur oxide control are used, the volume of solids produced is much greater than

that of coal ash. Almost all of this sludge is now disposed of by "ponding," while this prevents pollution from the sludge, it may not be a satisfactory long-term solution, and will be necessary to find some method other than ponding for long-term safe storage.

**Commercialization rates of synthetic fuels plants.** Conversion of coal to liquid and gaseous fuels represents a very large potential new market for coal. By far the greatest impediment to commercialization of coal-based synthetic fuels plants is that existing conversion technologies do now now make these fuels economically competitive with petroleum and natural gas. World oil prices have declined and pressure for even further decreases continues. At the same time, the supply of domestic natural gas has improved greatly, and concern over its availability at prices less than those of synthetic gas produced from coal has disappeared for the present. Finally, the soft demand for energy due to national and international conservation efforts and the worldwide recession have also contributed to the declining interest in synthetic fuels investments. The proposed installation of coal conversion plants has raised a number of environmental issues. The plants produce two types of air pollutants: those that result from the direct use of coal for the generation of steam and power (see above) and those produced by the conversion process. The air pollutants that may be released from the conversion processes are hydrogen sulfide, organic sulfur compounds, carbon monoxide, and possible trace organic hydro-carbon compounds. Most processes require that these potential air pollutants be removed to enable satisfactory operation. Thus, virtually the only emissions to the atmosphere should be from accidents and leaks.

The amount and type of water

pollutants generated at conversion plants depend to some extent on the processes used. Of greatest concern is the liquid discharge from the raw gas cooler stream. This water stream can contain hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, cyanides, phenols, benzene, oils, and tars in addition to suspended and dissolved solids. Treatment of this contaminated stream by using conventional technologies similar to those at coke-oven plants should eliminate this problem.

Another method for handling water pollutants from synthetic fuels plants involves the management of the water streams so as to successfully reduce the volume and increase the degree of contamination of the water streams. The final, contaminated stream can be evaporated to dryness, eliminating all water pollution problems.

Solid wastes produced in coal conversion processes are highly variable in both amount and chemical composition and depend on the conversion process, the coal ash content, and the chemical composition of the ash. The amount of ash to be disposed of depends on the ash content of the coal feed. The physical form of the ash and the amount of organics contained in it vary from process to process. Disposal of solid wastes as landfill requires special precautions to prevent leachable salts, heavy metals, and organic compounds from entering the ground or surface water systems.

Some environmental pollutants, such as coal tars, gases containing sulfur compounds, and spent catalysts produced at synthetic fuels plants, will require specialized control technologies, but most of these have already been demonstrated at other types of industrial plants. The real concern is the very large quantities of potential pollutant emissions that must be controlled, so that very conservative designs must be used for control

technologies, and plants must be operated with great care to achieve satisfactory pollution control.

Two major resources required by coal conversion plants are coal and water. In both the eastern and western regions of the United States, coal resources would be adequate for the number of conversion plants that would conceivably be constructed in the next 30 years or more. Water resources in the eastern region are generally adequate, but in the western region water availability could be a constraint to the construction of a large number of plants and would have to be considered on a case-by-case basis.

**Coal exports.** In European markets U.S. coal exports compete mainly with Polish and South African coals and in Pacific Rim countries with Australian and, to a lesser extent, South African coals. In both regions the delivered cost of U.S. coals is higher than that of its competitors. Nevertheless, markets are retained by U.S. suppliers because of reliability of supply, the desire of the consumer for diversity of supply, and for some coking coals, because of superior quality. Any change in U.S. regulations or laws that increase the cost of producing or transporting (over land or sea) U.S. coals to foreign ports will make U.S. coals even less competitive and increase the penalty to the consumer for seeking reliability, diversity, and quality of supply. The potentially adverse effect on U.S. coal exports is obvious.

Another factor that affects the quantity of all international coal trade is the trends in world oil prices—lower oil prices or high coal prices deter conversions of existing equipment to coal or installation of new coal-burning or coal conversion facilities. Current declines in world oil prices may reduce both U.S. and other coal export trade.

### Conclusion

Expanded production and use of domestic coal reserves have been a goal of U.S. energy policy for nearly 10 years. The policy was adopted to achieve security of energy supply (reduce oil imports) and to provide U.S. energy requirements at lowest cost. Coal reserves of the United States are very large and could satisfy U.S. and world needs for many decades. Coal can be used directly in competition with other fuels in all markets except motor vehicle or air transportation, but it is at an economic, convenience of use, and environmental disadvantage in the railroad, residential, commercial and small industrial markets. U.S. coal exports have increased sharply in the past 2 years and may present a market opportunity in the future for the U.S. coal industry, but the size of the market will depend on world oil prices and prices of coal from other exporting countries compared with those from the United States.

Coal is transported by rail, barge, and truck—the mode used depending on availability and price. One coal slurry pipeline is in operation and others are planned; these may present an economic alternative to conventional transport modes. Coal export ports experienced overcrowding in 1980 and 1981 but have enlarged their capacity and are currently operating without delays.

While U.S. coal productive capacity could be overtaxed for short periods and in limited geographic areas, there appear to be no overriding constraints to satisfying any expected increase in coal demand. However, meeting demand will require timely investments in the coal-producing and transporting sectors, and these would be immeasurably aided by stability in governmental policies and regulations. Absent such stability, delays could occur and costs could rise significantly.

Changes in the structure of the coal industry and in government regulations have caused a substantial shift in production from eastern to western areas of the United States. Governmental policies with respect to leasing of federal lands, environmental regulations, coal transportation policies, and legislation and resolution of water rights issues by federal and state agencies will continue to affect the future location of new major coal-producing and coal-utilized facilities.

Because of the favorable situation with respect to production and delivery of coal to users, increased coal consumption is "demand constrained." Coal's future use in those domestic markets in which it competes will be greatly influenced by world oil prices, by future government policies on oil imports, by factors affecting the delivered price of competing domestic fuels and coal, and by the nature of environmental regulations related largely to air pollution emissions. New technology that would permit coal to be used at lower cost or would reduce pollution control costs would be of great benefit in increasing coal use.

Production of liquids and gases from coal could reduce oil imports and provide a large new market for coal. Although commercialization of such plants was not obviously assured even before the recent drop in world oil prices, the lower cost of world oil has caused further delays in the commercialization programs. It appears that large-scale synthetic fuel plants will be constructed only if dramatic process improvements occur to reduce costs of productions or if, for policy reasons, large enough incentives are offered to interest industry in building such plants. To date, the incentives offered have not been successful in stimulating the type of developments envisioned when the Synthetic Fuels Corporation was established for this purpose.



Depend upon  
**The Ohio Seed Company**  
for all reclamation seed  
high quality and prompt delivery

**TOLL FREE 1-800-336-7333**



**The Ohio Seed Company**

P.O. Box 87

West Jefferson, Ohio 43162

(614) 879-8366

**Plant Ahead**

with

**Massie Brothers Trucking  
& Reclamation**

**We specialize in hydroseeding  
and tree planting**

P.O. Box 396, Shady Spring, W.Va.

(304) 763-2134

***NOBODY DOES IT BETTER!***

When times are tough, price becomes very important, and regardless where you look, you can always find cheaper parts — you can always find cheaper prices — and you can always find cheap labor.

But in the long run you always get what you pay for.

Our reputation is built on quality — and we still believe customers want quality in a product or in the service they receive. With 45 years of quality work behind us already we **know** we can do a better job for you — with Detroit diesel engines, Allison transmissions, **reliabilt** parts, genuine DDA parts, and most important — with our team of professionals.

You don't have to settle for second best. We have seven locations and over 60 authorized dealers throughout West Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky and Virginia to work with you.

Don't You Deserve The Best?

**Call Detroit Diesel Allison**

South Charleston, W. Va. 25303  
Box 8245 304/744-1511



Steubenville, Ohio 43952  
Box 2069 614/264-7121

Strasburg, Ohio 44680  
Box 167 216/878-5516

Grundy, Va. 24614  
Box 828 703/935-2559

Marietta Ohio 45750  
Rt. 7 Newport, Pk. 614/373-9411

(Hazard) Jackson, Ky. 41339  
Box 1613 606/666-4981

Cambridge, Ohio 43725  
Box 804 614/439-6631

TOLL FREE AFTER HOURS  
IN W. VA. 1-800-642-3627  
OTHERS 1-800-624-8225

**Call**

***NOBODY DOES IT BETTER!***

**Detroit Diesel Allison**  
Division of General Motors Corporation



# NEWS OF NOTE

## Contract Negotiations Begin

Representatives of the United Mine Workers of America (UMWA) and the Bituminous Coal Operators Association (BCOA) have 160 days in which to avert the ritual strike which has plagued the coal industry for the last 20 years.

Negotiations began on April 24. The current contract expires September 30. The last time a strike was avoided at contract time was 1964. Since that time, the union has walked out without a contract six consecutive times. This year, hopes are high that a settlement can be reached with no work stoppage. As always, there are conflicts between the negotiating goals of the two groups. But it does appear that the priorities of the UMW and the BCOA are not so much at odds as in recent contract years.

Both negotiating teams are operating with diminished forces compared to 1981. Union rolls have continued to decline as production has shifted somewhat to the more union free western coal fields, and the UMW admits that about 1/3 of its membership is currently unemployed. Meanwhile, the BCOA, which represented nearly 85% of U.S. coal production in 1981, has seen that figure fall to 66% this time around.

Several interesting and unprecedented scenarios have been raised on both sides. Some rank and file union members have openly discussed the possibility of working without a contract, in the event of a breakdown in negotiations. Such a practice would be strongly counter to UMW tradition and policy. Then too, the union has raised the possibility of selective strikes, that is staging walkouts only at a few selected operations. The BCOA has countered this with the idea of a "lockout," taking the position that a work stoppage at any

member company would be considered a strike against the entire membership.

Also, there remains the question of negotiations between the Union and non-BCOA members. This could be handled by such companies following the BCOA agreement, by separate negotiations conducted by smaller, more regionalized industry groups, or through company negotiations, an idea which many companies favor, and the UMW opposes.

It appears that wages and basic benefits present the least of obstacles to a timely agreement. The UMW claims to be seeking only modest increases in this area, and the BCOA appears willing to accept some increase. The industry group is seeking changes in the pension plan, which it holds would be much more efficiently administered on a company by company basis, and in work rules, particularly the complicated and inefficient job bidding system for filling vacancies.

The UMW, on the other hand, will emphasize job security, and further restrictions on subcontracting to non union operations, among other issues. It is here that the real danger of a hangup exists. With diminished membership, rampant unemployment within, and higher productivity among union free operations, the UMW is particularly sensitive on the issue of non union operations. In short, the Union doesn't want them to exist. In the area of subcontracting, this policy runs headlong against the right of a company to make its own business decisions.

To further complicate the matter, a federal court has struck down a provision from the previous contract providing for pension royalties on non union coal purchased by signatory companies. This has the effect of

strengthening the Union's conviction that such purchases should be eliminated altogether.

Negotiating disputes are inevitable. That's how the negotiators make their living. But underlying attitudes, pre-conference rhetoric, and the length of negotiating time all give rise to the hope that the contract will be signed in time to prevent a work stoppage.

## Million Ton Producers

According to a recent issue of the **Keystone News Bulletin**, 19 West Virginia mines exceeded a million tons in coal production during 1983. Heading the list was Consolidation Coal Co.'s Loveridge No. 2 Mine in Marion County, with 3,425,769.

In all, 11 of the 19 million ton producers were Consol operations. On a county basis, Monongalia dominated with seven. Special mention should be made of the three surface operations in West Virginia which exceeded the million ton mark. Hobet No. 21, in Boone County, was tops with 1,251,011. Hobet also ranked 109th in production among all U.S. mines. Buffalo Mining Co.'s Larado mine in Logan County combined deep and surface operations for 1,141,072 tons and 117th place nationally. Enoxy Coal Inc. placed 120th, producing 1,118,455 tons at its operation in Upshur County.

The largest producing operation in the country during 1983 was the Black Thunder Mine of Thunder Basin Coal Co., in Wyoming, with 15,193,000 tons. Like West Virginia, Wyoming and Illinois each placed 19 operations among the 132 nationwide which exceeded one million tons. The list included 16 mines in neighboring Kentucky, seven in Pennsylvania, six in Ohio, four in Virginia, and one in Maryland.

# NEWS OF NOTE

## Business Climate Ranking

Alexander Grant & Co. is out once again with its Business Climate Rankings, and West Virginia has maintained its place near the bottom, ranking 40th of the 48 continuous states.

Just two years ago, the Mountain State earned the dubious distinction of ranking dead last. Last year, we jumped to 39th, before slipping a notch in 1983.

Grant & Co. is a certified public accounting firm based in Chicago, which weighs 22 factors in evaluating business climate in each state. West Virginia ranked in the lower half of the 48 mainland states in 15 of the categories, and in the top half in seven. Our best performance was in the "Expenditure versus Revenue Growth" factor, with a ranking of 5th. At the other extreme was the state's 46th rating in "Unemployment Compensation Benefits."

Nationally, Florida maintained its three year hold on the number 1 ranking, while Michigan remained at the bottom. Along West Virginia's borders, Pennsylvania placed a notch behind us at 41st, Ohio placed a poor 46th, while Kentucky (24th), Virginia (12th) and Maryland (27th), were rated higher.

Copies of the complete report may be obtained at a cost of \$20, from Alexander Grant & Co., 1700 Prudential Plaza, Chicago, IL, 60601, (312)856-0001.

## Employment Security Debt

Thus far in 1984, West Virginia Department of Employment Security has borrowed money from the federal government each month in order to meet its obligations. The latest loan, in April, was for \$5.6 million, bringing the total West Virginia debt to \$324.2 million.

Employment Security Commissioner Nyle B. Hughes points out that benefits paid out have dipped sharply versus this time last year, but concedes that West Virginia's maximum weekly payment of \$223 is one of the highest in the country.

West Virginia pays 28 weeks of regular benefits, and currently pays 11 weeks of extended benefits. The amount of extended benefits paid out in March slightly exceeds the amount which the Department found it necessary to borrow in April.

In an attempt to address this continuing deficit, the State Legislature has provided for a 14 member Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund Commission. The Commission will offer suggestions for reducing or eliminating the debt, though this would seem to be a matter of choosing whose ox to gore. Barring an unlikely level of improvement in the state's economic outlook, the only apparent options would be raising unemployment tax rates to employers, or reducing benefits to unemployed workers.

The Commission will include 12 voting members—three state senators, three delegates, and three representatives each from the business and labor sectors.

## UMWA et. al. v. Scott, et. al.

Acting on a suit filed by the UMW against the Board of Coal Mine Health and Safety, the West Virginia Supreme Court ruled, what else, in favor of the UMW.

In essence, the suit charged that the Board has not carried out the mandate which brought it into existence. The court agreed, and directed the following action: The Board must:

1) review every fatality and the major causes of injuries that have occurred since the Board was restructured

in 1982, and promulgate rules and regulations to prevent recurrence.

2) promulgate such rules and regulations as are necessary to effectuate the Legislative mandate pursuant to West Virginia Code 22-2-28A, requiring that underground mining equipment conform to the height of the seam being mined.

3) dissolve existing committees that are designed to obstruct the board administrator in the performance of his mandatory duties.

4) reevaluate procedural rules and eliminate any rule which conflicts with a statutory provision or in any way obstructs and delays promulgation of safety regulations.


## West Virginia Lands Major Contract

Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., of New York, has signed an agreement with Rawl Sales and Processing Co., of Mingo County to purchase 287,000 tons of West Virginia coal annually from 1985-2005. Despite union protest over lack of a written guarantee that the coal will be mined by union workers, the signing of the contract is occasion for rejoicing in West Virginia.

Orange and Rockland is buying coal, West Virginia coal, because it is converting its power plants from oil and gas. Though West Virginia Governor Jay Rockefeller was instrumental in this process, the contract wasn't signed as a favor to him. Utility President Jim Smith estimates that conversion will save his 600,000 customers \$1 million a week. With sound economic reasons such as that, we can perhaps look forward to further coal conversion by northeastern utilities, and that's good news for all people who make their living from coal, including the United Mine Workers.



# We are one.



As sure as there's strength in unity, there's better service for you, our customer, through our consolidation of Cummins Kentuckiana, Inc. and Cummins East Central, Inc.

Effective September, 1983, we are one — Cummins Cumberland, Inc., with headquarters at 9822 Bluegrass Parkway, Louisville, Kentucky.

We've put our heads together to give you the best service in this part of the country. And to be sure that happens, there are eight full-service locations to serve you, including facilities in Louisville and Hazard, Kentucky; Nashville and Knoxville,

Tennessee; South Charleston and Fairmont, West Virginia; Evansville, Indiana; and Bristol, Virginia.

The name has changed, but the desire to meet your power, parts and service needs is stronger than ever. And, of course, your friends are still there to serve you.

So stop by the new Cummins Cumberland, Inc. facilities and say hello. Or if you have any questions we can help you with now, call our corporate offices or any of our branch locations. We are one now. And we are here to help you.

## Cummins Cumberland, Inc.

9820 Bluegrass Parkway  
Louisville, Kentucky 40299  
Phone: (502) 491-4263

Highway 15 North  
Post Office Box 510  
Hazard, Kentucky 41701  
Phone: (606) 436-5718

706 Spence Lane  
Nashville, Tennessee 37217  
Phone: (615) 366-4341

1211 Ault Road  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37914  
Phone: (615) 523-0446

Charleston Ordnance Center  
Post Office Box 8456  
South Charleston,  
West Virginia 25303  
Phone: (304) 744-6373

South Fairmont Exit, I-79  
Route 73 South  
Post Office Box 988  
Fairmont, West Virginia 26554  
Phone: (304) 367-0196

1650 North Fares Avenue  
Evansville, Indiana 47711  
Phone: (812) 425-2464

400 Stage Coach Road  
I-81 at Old Airport Road  
Bristol, Virginia 24201  
Phone: (703) 669-4200

# Coal Calendar

## JUNE

4-5 "Fundamentals of Roof Control and Pillar Design," National Mine Health & Safety Academy, Beckley, contact Nancy Hopper, OISTL, UK, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY 40512, (606) 252-5535

4-7 Surface BOP Stack Well Control, University Park, PA., contact J. Bennett, Penn State University, 122 Mineral Sciences Bldg., University Park, PA, 16802 (814) 865-7472

7-8 Four Annual "Better Reclamation With Trees" Conference, Executive Inn, Owensboro, KY, contact Danny L. Koon, Madisonville Community College, University Drive, Madisonville, KY 42431

7-8 "Forest Development and Wildlife Habitat," Owensboro, KY, contact Danny Koon, Reclamation & Mining Technology, Madisonville Community College, Madisonville, KY 42431 (502) 821-2250.

11-13 American Mining Congress Communications Workshop, Brown Palace Hotel, Denver, CO, contact Carol Sheppard, AMC 1920 N St. NW, Washington, DC, 20036, (202) 861-2839.

Seminar, "Mining and Mineral Laws," and Federal and State Assistance Programs for Coal Owners and Operators," Kentucky Center for Energy Research, Lexington, KY, contact Geaunita Helfenberger, OISTL, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY, 40512, (606) 252-5535.

26 Seminar, "Do it Yourself vs. Contracting," Kentucky Center for Energy Research, Lexington, KY, contact Geaunita Helfenberger, OISTL, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY 40512, (606) 252-5535.

## JULY

9-13 "Groundwater Pollution and Hydrology," Nassau Inn, Princeton, N.J., contact Iva Barros, Princeton Associates, P.O. Box 2010, Princeton, N.J., 08540, (609) 924-4163.

10-13 First Annual Meeting, American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation, Executive Inn, Owensboro, KY, contact Bill Plass, Executive Secretary, ASSMR, 21 Grandview Dr., Princeton, W. Va., 24740.

10 "Negotiating and Marketing Coal, Kentucky Center for Energy Research, Lexington, KY, contact Nancy Hopper, OISTL, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY, 40512, (606) 252-5535.

24 "Basic Accounting for the Coal and Energy Industries," and "Bankruptcy Techniques and Strategies for Coal Operators," Kentucky Cen-

ter for Energy Research, Lexington, KY, contact Geaunita Helfenberger, OISTL, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY, 40512, (606) 252-5535.

## AUGUST

3

39th Annual Meeting, Soil Conservation Society of America, Oklahoma City, OK, contact SCSA, 7515 NE Ankeny Rd, Ankeny, Iowa, 50021, (515) 289-1331.

29-

Aug. 1

"Review Course for the Professional Engineering Examination of Mining/Mineral Engineers, University Park, PA, contact J. Bennett, Penn State University, 126 Mineral Sciences Bldg., University Park, PA, 16802, (814) 865-7472.

6-8

"Stability Through Design," Hyatt Regency-Lexington, Lexington, KY, contact Department of Mining Engineering, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 40506, (606) 257-2953.

9-12

Annual Meeting, West Virginia Surface Mining and Reclamation Association, Greenbrier Hotel, White Sulphur Springs, contact Patty Bruce, WVSMRA, 1624 Kanawha Blvd. E., Charleston 25311, (304) 346-5318.

13-15

"Process Engineering of Size Reduction -- Ball Milling, University Park, Pa., contact J. Bennett, Penn State University, 126 Mineral Sciences bldg., University Park, PA, 16802, (814) 865-7472.

13-15

"Longwall Mining," Sheraton Lakeview Resort and Conference Center, Morgantown, contact Department of Mining Engineering, West Virginia University, P.O. Box 6070, Morgantown, 26506, (304) 293-5695.

14-16

"Technical Elements of Surface Coal Mining and Land Reclamation," University Park, PA, contact J. Bennett, Penn State University, 126 Mineral Sciences Bldg., University Park, PA, 16802, (814) 865-7472.

15-17

"Coal Preparation," Hyatt Regency, Lexington, KY, contact OISTL, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY, 40512, (606) 252-5535.

16-17

"Surface Subsidence Engineering," Sheraton Lakeview Resort and Conference Center, Morgantown, contact Department of Mining Engineering, West Virginia University, P.O. Box 6070, Morgantown, 26506, (304) 293-5695.

24

"Coal Mine Reserve engineering, Hyatt Regency, Lexington, KY, contact OISTL, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY, 40512, (606) 252-5535.



If you want it green

## Call Willco

Reclamation and Hydroseeding • Landscape Seeding  
Erosion Control



Ed Williams, President

## WILLCO RECLAMATION, INC.

619 Open Rocks Rd. - Summersville - 304 / 872-2287

# Satisfaction

A very important word around Hansford Seed. It's why we have the distinction of supplying the best in reclamation in West Virginia, Virginia and Kentucky.

We've got it all — the best of quality, service and price. And we are the source for "Super South" Cellulose and Hay Mulches, along with distributing the finest of fertilizers.

Call Bruce, Barbara or Clyde. You know us — the ones to trust.

**H<sub>S</sub>** **Hansford  
Seed Company**  
West Highway 80 P.O. Box 812  
Somerset, KY 42501  
Phone 606-679-4484 or 679-4485

**SATISFACTION—  
YOUR Satisfaction —  
It's Built OUR Business!**

# ASSOCIATION NOTEBOOK



## Annual Meeting

WVSMRA members should mark their calendars for August 9-12. Those are the dates for the Association's Annual Meeting, at the Greenbrier Hotel in White Sulphur Springs.

Final program plans are as yet incomplete, but we will follow the same general format, mixing a well balanced business program with a full schedule of sports and other activities of interest. The meeting will again be topped off by the banquet with all the trimmings on Saturday night.

## Future Meetings

Dates have also been set for the Association's Fall Board of Directors Meeting, and next winter's Semi-Annual Meeting.

The Fall meeting, which includes activities geared to the entire membership, is set for October 19-20, at the Sheraton Lakeview Resort and Conference Center near Morgantown. Tickets will be made available for the WVU-Boston College football game that weekend.

The Semi-Annual Meeting has been reinstated to our calendar after a one year hiatus. This will be February 7-10 at the Doral Hotel and Country Club, Miami, Florida.



C.E. "Jim" Compton, Grafton Coal Co.

## C.E. Compton Chair of Nutrition


Through the WVU Alumni Magazine, we have learned that long-time member C.E. "Jim" Compton, of Grafton Coal Company, has endowed the University Medical Center with a Chair of Nutrition.

The endowment enables the University to retain the services of a full professor in the field of nutrition. He is C. Lawrence Kien, who comes to WVU from the Medical College of Wisconsin. Mr. Compton is well known for his interest in nutrition, among his many philanthropic activities.



# Analysis of

- Coal
- Overburden
- Water & Wastewater
- Engineering Properties of Soils



**TECHNICAL TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.**  
1263 GREENBRIER ST.  
Charleston, WV 25311  
304-346-0725

- Coal Preparation Plant Permit
- Surface & Deep Mining Permit
- Discharge Mining Report

Serving the Coal Industry for over 50 years



**Chamberlaine  
& Flowers** INC.



INSURANCE

128 South Second Street  
Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301  
304/623-3721

114 High Street  
Morgantown, West Virginia 26505  
304/292-8454

18 West Main Street  
Buckhannon, West Virginia 26201  
304/472-2402



**"TOTAL SERVICE is what we're all about"**

**KOMATSU**

**QUALITY**

Komatsu has no competition when it comes to delivering productivity. Komatsu machines are engineered with the operator, and your bottom line in mind.

Komatsu has joined with Rish Equipment Company to offer equipment users in West Virginia the very finest with Komatsu Equipment and Rish's Total Value Concept.

For a demonstration of Komatsu's "Owner Benefits" throughout the entire line of crawlers, loaders, trucks, excavators and graders contact your nearest Rish branch today. The Rish-Komatsu team is ready to serve you.



**Rish** **KOMATSU** **TVC** TOTAL VALUE CONCEPT  
**EQUIPMENT COMPANY**

Airport Rd./U.S. 52 BLUEFIELD, WV 127 Pikeview Dr BECKLEY, WV Rt. 35 ST. ALBANS, WV Rt. 14/Vienna PARKERSBURG, WV